

11. A Falsifiable Hypothesis to Prove Agency

Evolutionists detest conceptually, physically accurate, like for like analogies because they show convincingly—through common sense and basic reason—that the claims of evolutionists are not easily believed by laymen and learned alike, especially when the smoke has been cleared, the mirrors smashed and their thinking exposed as being no different to the primitives of old. The primitives ascribed divine attributes to nature and worshipped it due to their ignorance and the moderns do the same thing but out of pride and arrogance in order to avoid affirming that there is an authority over the universe and to reject the necessity of showing thanks for the innumerable benefits they enjoy every day.

They are adept and highly sophisticated in the way they conceal their resemblance to the primitives. Their act of ascribing such qualities to nature is concealed through highly sophisticated, theory-laden, speculative belief systems that are cleverly masked as science through cryptic, ambiguous, technical language.

DNA provides the basis for a **digital communication and control system** within a cellular framework that lies behind biological life. DNA is a database of code containing prescriptive information with *syntax* (grammar) and *semantics* (meaning) and *prescriptive function*. Such codes and languages cannot arise except through **choice with intent**, or **knowledge, will, ability and wisdom**. Anyone who claims otherwise, after knowing this truth, is a *cretin*.¹⁵⁰

¹⁵⁰ Cretin = imbecile, moron, fool, idiot. It is important that the scales are overturned and the realities are put back in their proper places through the use of appropriate labels. Atheists and naturalists routinely use derogatory language for those who believe in a Creator on the basis of their speculative, conjectural make-believe stories in which they present their attribution of divine qualities to nature in a cryptic manner through highly technical deceptive language. A new language and way of speaking must be adopted with respect to them to restore matters to their natural state of being so as to inform them that they have little to stand upon in the 21st century when the neo-Darwinian mechanism has been empirically proven to be false and impossible. Naturalists are now being observed scrambling

The physical and chemical properties of paper and ink cannot account for the existence of the meaning and message inscribed on the paper. It requires knowledge and will. If you are reading these words through digital means, then binary digits and pixels cannot explain the meaning of what you are reading. Only knowledge, will, ability and wisdom can account for it.

A meaningful message composed on a magnetic whiteboard with magnetic letters—such as “*Methinks it is like a weasel*”—cannot be explained through the physics of magnetism alone, because the physics and laws themselves are not self-aware and cannot produce meaning on the basis of an arbitrary code system. Such laws would have to intelligently think of, devise, plan, create and implement such a system of abstraction and symbol-tokenism (an alphabet) before producing meaning. The existence of meaning itself would require knowledge and will. **Physical laws do not have knowledge and will.** This is impossible. No one believes this except a **self-deceiving cretin** or an **arrogant ingrate**. However, to avoid being correctly identified as cretins, such people *outwardly* deny ascribing knowledge and will to physical laws and matter *whilst inwardly knowing full well that it cannot be any other way*. Something has to be given the attributes of knowledge, will and wisdom—or choice with intent—in order to explain the cybernetic system behind biological life [and the very apparent phenomena observed daily by all humanity which are evidently intended to facilitate life and meet the essential needs of humanity]. There is no escape from this at all.

Coming back to codes and meanings:

Observed repeat patterns within nature created by law-like physicochemical interactions do not amount to code. Snowflakes,

to invent the next story to prop up their primitive belief in the divinity of nature. If what we now know about the DNA-gene-cell cybernetic system was known in the 19th and early 20th centuries, their fanciful make-believe stories would never have been imagined. These stories first arose due to pure ignorance and today they are maintained and upheld through pure arrogance.

stalactites and fractals are not code. They are arbitrary structures and not semantically coded systems (with meaning).

Physical laws cannot account for meaning. A coded message with meaning and instruction requires knowledge and will.

Random letter sequences—for example, “onldsabeqdt”—do form a coded system through the use of symbols but they are devoid of meaning (semantics). We are not speaking merely of coded systems. We are speaking specifically of coded information (semiotics) *with* meaning (semantics) *and* function (pragmatics) *and* end goals.

Repeat patterns and random sequences are not the same as coded information with meaning and prescriptive function.

No natural mechanism or process can produce code (semiotics) with semantics (meaning) let alone pragmatics (executable instructions) with end goals. Biological life is full of billions of instances of end goals at the biochemical, cellular, organ and whole organism levels. To deny this is to deny sensory perception and to judge oneself with cretinhood. Atheists, evolutionists and naturalists must admit that it is impossible to avoid “teleological, agential thinking” when speaking of “nature”.¹⁵¹ That is because there is teleology and agency in nature—in actuality—it is the most obvious and apparent of truths and the entire scientific enterprise is premised upon this indisputable fact. No atheist should be allowed to escape this admission from the outset and should be made to feel no different [in level of intelligence] to the primitives who ascribe divine attributes to nature.

The DNA-gene-cell cybernetic system is the “the language and platform of creation.” Life is pre-programmed. There is agency behind life. This agency explains the indisputable teleology in biological life. We know and understand the mechanism of teleology: It is the cybernetic system underlying biological life. This cybernetic

¹⁵¹ We have already cited from a lecture given at the Royal Society November 2016 conference discussing “*New Trends In Evolutionary Biology: Biological, Philosophical And Social Science Perspectives*” establishing this fact. One of the professors, Samir Okasha, presented a lecture on *the metaphor of agency*, refer to the citation from him in the Introduction.

system functions in the same way that the information technology systems of Google, Facebook, Youtube and Twitter function. There is agency behind these systems. These systems require formal solutions before implementation. We stated previously that the discoveries, formulas and communication theories which created the modern digital world and the basic ideas and principles of computer design and engineering of Von Neumann, Alan Turing and Norbert Weiner (inventor of cybernetics) were derived from the linear digital genetic programming in life.

Thus, the digital communication and control system based around DNA is designed by **choice with intent** or put another way, by *knowledge, will, ability and wisdom*. Inference to a designer, maker and creator is justified and warranted because all other possibilities (to explain prescriptive information) can be eliminated through:

- reason and the sum of human experience in technology,
- empirical testing,
- complete absence of proof for these other possibilities, or
- the inability to falsify the proposition: 'No natural mechanism or process can produce prescriptive code.'

Other possible explanations include:

- intelligent aliens created DNA,
- DNA just appeared, effectively creating itself,
- physical law (laws of nature) created DNA.

These are not scientifically validated claims, but religious beliefs either outside the realm of scientific inquiry or empirically proven and already known to be false.

This hypothesis of agency can be easily falsified by providing an empirical demonstration of a processable prescriptive coded system [with its own, completely novel symbol-token system with multiple layers of abstraction similar to what we see of concepts in object-oriented programming languages for example] arising by chance and necessity, through purely natural means alone [physico-chemical dynamics] in the absence of choice with intent, or knowledge and will, and completely devoid of any and all end-goals and objectives. A

single demonstration will falsify the hypothesis and give credibility to the claims of atheistst and naturalists.

This is a falsifiable hypothesis.

A prize reward of **\$5,000 USD** is offered for anyone who can bring a single instance of **processable prescriptive code** being generated by purely physicochemical dynamics (“*chance*” plus “*necessity*”) without the involvement of any investigator-led input or steering.

The code must demonstrably have:

- a novel system of semiotics (a sign-symbol token system, basically its own unique alphabet),
- syntax (rules, a grammatical system for how the alphabet can be arranged),
- semantics (abstraction and meaning),
- pragmatics (functional instruction) and
- purposes, end-goals.

The DNA-gene-cell system is characterised by all these elements.

Failure to collect the above prize will constitute ongoing proof for the existence of agency behind biological life which at minimum, possesses the attributes of knowledge, will, power and wisdom. Since attributes cannot exist on their own and must inhere in something, a true and real existence of an essence possessing these attribute is demanded and justified.

From what has preceded, there are only two possibilities. Either there is an entity beyond nature that possesses the attributes of knowledge, will, power and wisdom (choice with intent), or it is nature that possesses and manifests these attributes. All naturalists must adopt the latter view in order to explain teleology—(which, in the sum or human experience and enterprise, can only arise through choice with intent). To deny teleology exists is pure cretinhood and arrogation. Thus, nature has to be given divine attributes and this is the real secret behind the speculative, theory-laden beliefs in which highly technical, cryptic language is employed to camouflage and conceal the fact that these people are no different from the primitives. It is just that they are way more sophisticated in how they are saying what the primitives were saying. Then, they claim

that all the design and teleology that we see is a mere “illusion”. It is a sign of madness when you distrust what your own physical senses tell you, and this is the reality of the neo-Darwinian fundamentalist charlatans likes Richard Dawkins.

Note: The neo-Darwinian evolutionary hypothesis cannot provide tests of falsifiability *for its primary axiom*—that natural selection acting upon random mutations account for emergence of new species—because this hypothesis cannot be tested to begin with. It is a theoretical extrapolation from limited small-scale observations and lies beyond testability and falsifiability. In addition, it has the element of **randomness** (in mutations). Incorporating randomness as a central feature in any theory excludes it from having a scientific, empirical basis. It is for this reason that “evolution” is *always* very cleverly worded in the broadest terms possible, and never incorporates the mechanism because zero empirical evidence exists to support it. It is believed through illogical extrapolation, and the evolutionists know this well. We have alluded to this controversy between evolutionists elsewhere in this book. Atheist evolutionists rely upon people’s ignorance to deceive them and to convince them of their imaginative fairy tale stories told in highly technical, cryptic language. This is the real source of their apparent strength and domination.